



**REPORT OF THE AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS  
AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE  
FORMER WHITLEY COUNTY SHERIFF**

**Calendar Year 1998**

**EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR.  
AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS  
[WWW.KYAUDITOR.NET](http://WWW.KYAUDITOR.NET)**

**144 CAPITOL ANNEX  
FRANKFORT, KY 40601  
TELE. (502) 564-5841  
FAX (502) 564-2912**



**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**  
**WHITLEY COUNTY**  
**H. D. MOSES, FORMER SHERIFF**  
**CALENDAR YEAR 1998**  
**FEE AUDIT**

The former Whitley County Sheriff did not prepare a financial statement for calendar year 1998. We were able to use the former Sheriff's receipts and disbursements ledgers to prepare a financial statement. However, the ledgers were incomplete. We added unidentified receipts of \$8,940 and unidentified disbursements of \$5,662 to the ledgers and on the financial statement. These adjustments were material to the financial statement taken as a whole and a qualified opinion has been issued.

**Report Findings:**

- Former Sheriff H. D. Moses Should Pay \$30,776 Of Excess Fees To Fiscal Court
- The Fiscal Court Should Require Better Recordkeeping Of The Sheriff's Office
- Former Sheriff H. D. Moses Did Not Present An Annual Settlement To Fiscal Court Nor Did He Publish An Annual Settlement In The Local Newspaper
- Former Sheriff H. D. Moses Should Have Submitted Quarterly Reports To The State Local Finance Officer
- Former Sheriff H. D. Moses Did Not Maintain Adequate Time Records For All Employees Of His Office
- The Former Sheriff's Office Lacked A Proper Segregation Of Accounting Duties



## CONTENTS

PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT .....	1
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES .....	3
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT .....	5
COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	9
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS.....	15





## Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

To the People of Kentucky

Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor

John P. McCarty, Secretary

Finance and Administration Cabinet

Mike Haydon, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet

Honorable Mike Patrick, Whitley County Judge/Executive

Honorable Ancil Carter, Whitley County Sheriff

Honorable H. D. Moses, Former Whitley County Sheriff

Members of the Whitley County Fiscal Court

### Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the accompanying statement of receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the former Sheriff of Whitley County, Kentucky, for the year ended December 31, 1998. This financial statement is the responsibility of the former Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Audit Guide for County Fee Officials issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the former Sheriff was required to prepare the financial statement on a prescribed basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the cash basis and laws of Kentucky, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles. This cash basis system does not require the maintenance of a general fixed asset group or general long-term debt group of accounts. Accordingly, the accompanying financial statement is not intended to present financial position and results of operations in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

The former Whitley County Sheriff did not prepare a financial statement for calendar year 1998. We were able to use the former Sheriff's receipts and disbursements ledgers to prepare a financial statement. However, the ledgers were incomplete. We added unidentified receipts of \$8,940 and unidentified disbursements of \$5,662 to the ledgers and on the accompanying financial statement. These adjustments were material to the financial statement taken as a whole.

To the People of Kentucky  
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor  
John P. McCarty, Secretary  
Finance and Administration Cabinet  
Mike Haydon, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet  
Honorable Mike Patrick, Whitley County Judge/Executive  
Honorable Ancil Carter, Whitley County Sheriff  
Honorable H. D. Moses, Former Whitley County Sheriff  
Members of the Whitley County Fiscal Court

In our opinion, except for the matters disclosed in the previous paragraph, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the former Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 1998, in conformity with the basis of accounting described above.

Based on the results of our audit, we have presented the accompanying comments and recommendations, included herein, which discuss the following areas of noncompliance:

- Former Sheriff H. D. Moses Should Pay \$30,776 Of Excess Fees To The Fiscal Court
- The Fiscal Court Should Require Better Recordkeeping Of The Sheriff's Office
- Former Sheriff H. D. Moses Did Not Present An Annual Settlement To The Fiscal Court Nor Did He Publish An Annual Settlement In The Local Newspaper
- Former Sheriff H. D. Moses Should Have Submitted Quarterly Reports To The State Local Finance Officer
- Former Sheriff H. D. Moses Did Not Maintain Adequate Time Records For All Employees Of His Office
- The Former Sheriff's Office Lacked A Proper Segregation Of Accounting Duties

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued a report dated June 9, 2000, on our consideration of the Sheriff's compliance with certain laws and regulations and internal control over financial reporting.

Respectfully submitted,



Edward B. Hatchett, Jr.  
Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed -  
June 9, 2000



WHITLEY COUNTY  
H. D. MOSES, FORMER SHERIFF  
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES

Calendar Year 1998

Receipts

State Grants - KLEFPF	\$	6,535
State Fees For Services:		
Finance and Administration Cabinet		8,392
Circuit Court Clerk:		
Sheriff Security Service	\$	14,252
Fines and Fees Collected		708
		14,960
Fiscal Court		2,218
County Clerk - Delinquent Taxes		16,713
Commission On Taxes Collected		133,232
Fees Collected For Services:		
Auto Inspections	\$	12,585
Accident and Police Reports		50
Serving Papers		16,183
		28,818
Other:		
Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapon Permits		18,827
Sale of Surplus Property		22,300
Sheriff's Fee		11,606
Interest		5,289
Miscellaneous		6,923
Unidentified Receipts		8,940
Gross Receipts (Carried Forward)	\$	284,753

WHITLEY COUNTY  
H. D. MOSES, FORMER SHERIFF  
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES  
Calendar Year 1998  
(Continued)

Gross Receipts (Brought Forward)	\$	284,753
----------------------------------	----	---------

Disbursements

Operating Disbursements:

Personnel Services-

Deputies' Gross Salaries	\$	139,666
--------------------------	----	---------

Materials and Supplies-

Office Materials and Supplies		3,866
-------------------------------	--	-------

Auto Expense-

Gasoline		9,409
----------	--	-------

Maintenance and Repairs		4,850
-------------------------	--	-------

Mileage		257
---------	--	-----

Vehicle Insurance		202
-------------------	--	-----

Other Charges-

Conventions and Travel		541
------------------------	--	-----

Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapon Permits		13,350
--	--	--------

Postage		17,059
---------	--	--------

Bond		305
------	--	-----

Miscellaneous		3,372
---------------	--	-------

Debt Service-

Interest		6,712
----------	--	-------

Unidentified Disbursements		<u>5,662</u>
----------------------------	--	--------------

Total Disbursements		<u>205,251</u>
---------------------	--	----------------

Net Receipts	\$	79,502
--------------	----	--------

Less: Statutory Maximum		<u>48,726</u>
-------------------------	--	---------------

Excess Fees Due County For Calendar Year 1998	\$	<u><u>30,776</u></u>
---	----	----------------------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statement.

WHITLEY COUNTY  
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

December 31, 1998

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

A fee official uses a fund to report on the results of operations. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

A fee official uses a fund for fees to account for activities for which the government desires periodic determination of the excess of receipts over disbursements to facilitate management control, accountability, and compliance with laws.

B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a cash basis of accounting pursuant to KRS 68.210 as recommended by the State Local Finance Officer. Revenues and related assets are generally recognized when received rather than when earned. Certain expenses are recognized when paid rather than when a liability is incurred, including capital asset purchases. Certain other expenses are recognized when a revenue and the related asset can be associated with a corresponding liability due another governmental entity.

The measurement focus of a fee official is upon excess fees. Remittance of excess fees is due to the County Treasurer in the subsequent year.

C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

Note 2. Employee Retirement System

The county officials and employees have elected to participate in the County Employees Retirement System (CERS), pursuant to KRS 78.530 administered by the Board of Trustees of the Kentucky Retirement Systems. This is a multiple-employer public retirement system that covers all eligible full-time employees. Benefit contributions and provisions are established by statute. Nonhazardous covered employees are required to contribute 5.0 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for nonhazardous employees was 8.65 percent. Hazardous covered employees are required to contribute 7.0 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for hazardous employees was 18.69 percent.

WHITLEY COUNTY  
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT  
December 31, 1998  
(Continued)

Note 2. Employee Retirement System (Continued)

Benefits fully vest on reaching five years of service for nonhazardous employees. Aspects of benefits for nonhazardous employees include retirement after 27 years of service or age 65. Aspects of benefits for hazardous employees include retirement after 20 years of service or age 55.

Historical trend information pertaining to CERS' progress in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due is present in the Kentucky Retirement Systems' annual financial report which is a matter of public record.

Note 3. Deposits

The former Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). According to KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution. These requirements were met, and as of December 31, 1998, the former Sheriff's deposits were fully insured or collateralized at a 100% level with collateral of either pledged securities held by the former Sheriff's agent in the former Sheriff's name, or provided surety bonds which named the Sheriff as beneficiary/obligee on the bonds.

## COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS



WHITLEY COUNTY  
H. D. MOSES, FORMER SHERIFF  
COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Calendar Year 1998

STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS:

1) Former Sheriff H. D. Moses Should Pay \$30,776 Of Excess Fees To The Fiscal Court

Former Sheriff H. D. Moses owes excess fees of \$30,776 to the fiscal court for calendar year 1998. KRS 134.310 requires the Sheriff to settle excess fees annually with the fiscal court. We recommend the former Sheriff pay \$30,776 excess fees to the fiscal court.

*Former Sheriff H. D. Moses' Response:*

*We will try to get this paid.*

2) The Fiscal Court Should Require Better Recordkeeping Of The Sheriff's Office

Under authority of KRS 68.210, the State and Local Finance Officer has established the Uniform System of Accounts which requires the maintenance of receipts and disbursements ledgers and also requires bank reconciliations be prepared monthly. Furthermore, KRS 67.080(1)(d) states the fiscal court may cause correct accounts and records to be kept of all receipts and disbursements of public funds of the county. Former Sheriff H. D. Moses did not make daily bank deposits of receipts collected by his office and did not reconcile receipts to daily cash checkout sheets. Furthermore, former Sheriff H. D. Moses did not reconcile his bank statements to his cash receipts and disbursements ledgers on a monthly basis. The former Sheriff did not post all deposits to the receipts ledger and all expenditures to the disbursements ledger and did not prepare a financial statement for calendar year 1998. We were able to use the former Sheriff's receipts and disbursements ledgers to prepare a financial statement. However, the receipts and disbursements ledgers were incomplete. We added unidentified receipts of \$8,940 and unidentified disbursements of \$5,662 to the ledgers and the accompanying financial statement. These adjustments were material to the financial statement taken as a whole. We recommend the Sheriff's office make daily deposits of receipts collected, reconcile to daily cash checkout sheets, comply with the Uniform System of Accounts by reconciling monthly bank account activity to the receipts and disbursements ledgers, and post all transactions to the ledgers. We also recommend the fiscal court comply with KRS 67.080(1)(d) by causing the Sheriff's office to maintain correct accounts and records of all receipts and disbursements of the Sheriff's office.

*Former Sheriff H. D. Moses' Response:*

*This has been corrected for the current Sheriff.*

3) Former Sheriff H. D. Moses Did Not Present An Annual Settlement To The Fiscal Court Nor Did He Publish An Annual Settlement In The Local Newspaper

Former Sheriff H. D. Moses did not present an annual financial statement to the fiscal court nor did he publish an annual settlement in the local newspaper. KRS 134.310 requires the Sheriff's office to present an annual settlement to the fiscal court. KRS 424.220 requires the Sheriff's office to publish an annual financial statement within 60 days after the close of the calendar year. We recommend the Sheriff's office comply with KRS 134.310 and KRS 424.220 by presenting an annual settlement to the fiscal court and by having this annual settlement published.

WHITLEY COUNTY  
H. D. MOSES, SHERIFF  
COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS  
Calendar Year 1998  
(Continued)

- 3) Former Sheriff H. D. Moses Did Not Present An Annual Settlement To The Fiscal Court Nor Did He Publish An Annual Settlement In The Local Newspaper (Continued)
- 

*Former Sheriff H. D. Moses' Response:*

*The Sheriff's office is currently preparing financial statements.*

- 4) Sheriff H. D. Moses Should Have Submitted Quarterly Reports To The State Local Finance Officer
- 

Former Sheriff H. D. Moses did not submit quarterly reports to the State Local Finance Officer for calendar year 1998. KRS 68.210 gives the State Local Finance Officer the authority to require all local government officials to submit financial reports as he may deem proper. The fee officials are required to submit quarterly financial reports. KRS 68.990 states, in part, "Any local government official who fails to submit a financial report requested by the State Local Finance Officer . . . shall, fifteen (15) days after written notice of noncompliance by the state local finance officer, be fined \$250 per day until compliance." We recommend the Sheriff's office submit quarterly financial reports as required by the State Local Finance Officer.

*Former Sheriff H. D. Moses' Response:*

*The Sheriff's office now submits quarterly reports to the Department for Local Government.*

- 5) Former Sheriff H. D. Moses Did Not Maintain Adequate Time Records For All Employees Of His Office
- 

Former Sheriff H. D. Moses did not maintain adequate time records for employees of his office. KRS 337.320 states, "Every employer shall keep a record of the amount paid each pay period to each employee; the hours worked each day and each week by each employee; . . . ." The former Sheriff did not maintain formal records of the hours worked each day and each week by each employee. We recommend the Sheriff's office comply with KRS 337.320 by maintaining adequate records for all employees.

*Former Sheriff H. D. Moses' Response:*

*Okay.*



WHITLEY COUNTY  
H. D. MOSES, SHERIFF  
COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS  
Calendar Year 1998  
(Continued)

INTERNAL CONTROL - REPORTABLE CONDITIONS AND MATERIAL WEAKNESSES:

The Former Sheriff's Office Lacked A Proper Segregation Of Accounting Duties

We conclude the internal control structure of the former Sheriff's office lacked a proper segregation of accounting duties. There was a limited staff size which prevented an adequate division of responsibilities. The former Sheriff had statutory authority to assume the role as custodian of monetary assets, as well as recorder of transactions and preparer of financial statements. We recognize the extent of segregation of duties is a judgement established by management. We also recognize this judgement is affected by certain circumstances beyond the elected official's control, such as functions prescribed by statutes and regulations and by budgetary constraints. Due to limited staff, a proper segregation of duties may have been impossible. However, the lack of adequate segregation of duties is hereby noted as a reportable condition and material weakness pursuant to professional auditing standards.

*Former Sheriff H. D. Moses' Response:*

*There is nothing we can do about this.*

PRIOR YEAR:

- The Fiscal Court Should Require Better Recordkeeping Of The Sheriff's Office
- Former Sheriff H. D. Moses Did Not Present An Annual Settlement To The Fiscal Court Nor Did He Publish An Annual Settlement In The Local Newspaper
- Former Sheriff H. D. Moses Should Have Submitted Quarterly Reports To The State Local Finance Officer
- Former Sheriff H. D. Moses Did Not Maintain Adequate Time Records For All Employees Of His Office
- The Former Sheriff's Office Lacked A Proper Segregation Of Accounting Duties

THIS PAGE LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE  
AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL  
REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT  
PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS





Edward B. Hatchett, Jr.  
Auditor of Public Accounts

Honorable Mike Patrick, Whitley County Judge/Executive  
Honorable Ancil Carter, Whitley County Sheriff  
Honorable H. D. Moses, Former Whitley County Sheriff  
Members of the Whitley County Fiscal Court

Report On Compliance And On Internal Control  
Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial  
Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the former Whitley County Sheriff as of December 31, 1998, and have issued our report thereon dated June 9, 2000. We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the former Whitley County Sheriff's financial statement as of December 31, 1998, is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws and regulations, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards which are described in the accompanying comments and recommendations.

- Former Sheriff H. D. Moses Should Pay \$30,776 Of Excess Fees To The Fiscal Court
- The Fiscal Court Should Require Better Recordkeeping Of The Sheriff's Office
- Former Sheriff H. D. Moses Did Not Present An Annual Settlement To The Fiscal Court Nor Did He Publish An Annual Settlement In The Local Newspaper
- Former Sheriff H. D. Moses Should Have Submitted Quarterly Reports To The State Local Finance Officer

Honorable Mike Patrick, Whitley County Judge/Executive  
Honorable Ancil Carter, Whitley County Sheriff  
Honorable H. D. Moses, Former Whitley County Sheriff  
Members of the Whitley County Fiscal Court  
Report On Compliance And On Internal Control  
Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial  
Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards  
(Continued)

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the former Whitley County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. However, we noted certain matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be reportable conditions. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the entity's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statement. Reportable conditions are described in the accompanying comments and recommendations.

- The Fiscal Court Should Require Better Recordkeeping Of The Sheriff's Office
- Former Sheriff H. D. Moses Did Not Present An Annual Settlement To The Fiscal Court Nor Did He Publish An Annual Settlement In The Local Newspaper
- Former Sheriff H. D. Moses Should Have Submitted Quarterly Reports To The State Local Finance Officer
- The Former Sheriff's Office Lacked A Proper Segregation Of Accounting Duties

A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statement being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we consider the reportable conditions described above to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended for the information of management. However, this report, upon release by the Auditor of Public Accounts, is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Respectfully submitted,



Edward B. Hatchett, Jr.  
Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed -  
June 9, 2000

